PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: LAURENCE S. ROGERS C/O FISH & NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10020		PCT WRITTEN OPINION		
		ŗ		(PCT Rule 66)
		5		
			Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	21 NOV 2002
Applicant's or ag CF/024 PCT	gent's file reference			ithin ONE months om the above date of mailing
nternational app	olication No.	International filing date	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US01/25		10 AUGUST 2001		25 AUGUST 2000
nternational Pat IPC(7): G06F	tent Classification (IPC) 17/60 and US Cl.: 708	or both national classifi 5/86, 37	cation and IPC	
Applicant PRITCHARD,	ANDREW H.			
III	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to		
VI	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the	ntion nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such sta ted international application	n regard to novelty, i atement	ep or industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;
V X VI VII VIII	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations	ntion nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such sta ted international application on the international appl	n regard to novelty, i atement	ep or industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;
V X VI VII VIII	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit ince	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. It is applied to this opinion.	n regard to novelty, in atement in the second in the secon	
V X VI VII VIII VIII S. The applicant	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. Since the application of the international application cated above. The application extension, see Rule 66 ten reply, accompanied.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the estate of the estate o	nventive step or industrial applicability; **Expiration of that time limit, request this
V X VI	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ For the form and the For an additional opp For the examiner's o	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. Bicated above. The applier of the extension, see Rule 66 applied to this opinion. It is a possible application of the amendation to submit amendation to consider amendations.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the estable of the es	xpiration of that time limit, request this y amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and 66.9.
V X VI VII VIII O The applicant When? How?	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ For the form and the For an additional opp For the examiner's o For an informal com	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. The applies of the amendation of the amendation of the amendation of the amendation to consider amendation with the examination with the examinations.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the estate of the session of the ses	xpiration of that time limit, request this y amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and 66.9.
V X VI VII VIII S. The applicant When? How? Also If no reply is the final date.	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ For the form and the For an additional opp For the examiner's o For an informal comi is filed, the internation e by which the internat	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. The applies a catenation, see Rule 66 at ten reply, accompanied, a language of the amendmentation to consider ammunication with the examination application and preliminary examinations.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the eagle of the eagle	xpiration of that time limit, request this y amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and 66.9. s.4. guments, see Rule 66.4 bis. ablished on the basis of this opinion.
V X VI	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ For the form and the For an additional opp For the examiner's o For an informal comi is filed, the internation e by which the internat report must be establis	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application. The applies of the applies of the amendation of the international specific ten reply, accompanied, a language of the amendation to consider ammunication with the examinational preliminary examinational preliminary to Rule 68.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the east of the ea	xpiration of that time limit, request this y amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and 66.9. s.4. guments, see Rule 66.4 bis. ablished on the basis of this opinion.
V X VI	Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement u citations and explana Certain documents ci Certain defects in the Certain observations t is hereby invited to re See the time limit inc Authority to grant a By submitting a writ For the form and the For an additional opp For the examiner's o For an informal comi is filed, the internation e by which the internat	ntion Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated international application on the international application the international applicated above. The applies of the applies of the amendation of the international supplication to consider amounication with the examinational preliminary examinational preliminary to Rule 66.	n regard to novelty, in atement Ilication ant may, before the eagle of the eagle	xpiration of that time limit, request this y amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and 66.9. s.4. guments, see Rule 66.4 bis. ablished on the basis of this opinion.

WRITTEN OPINION

International	application	No.
---------------	-------------	-----

PCT/US01/25022

I. Ba	asis of	the opinion		
1. With	a regard	to the elements of the international applica	tion:*	
X	the ir	nternational application as originally f	filed	
x	the d	escription:		
نثنا		s <u>1-18</u>		, as originally filed
	pages	NONE		, filed with the demand
	pages	s NONE	, filed with the letter of	
	tha c	laims:		
X		s 19-21		iainally filed
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, as amended (together with any s	statement) under Article 19
		SNONE		, filed with the demand
			with the letter of	
X		rawings: s 1-3		
)		, as originally filed
		NONE NONE	Eladamida da la constante	_ , filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	_ , filed with the letter of	
X	the se	equence listing part of the description:		
لتتا		NONE		as originally filed
				filed with the demand
		NONE NONE	, filed with the letter of	
	the la	nguage of publication of the internation	the purposes of international search (total application (under Rule 48.3(b)). purposes of international preliminary examples of international preliminary examples.	
3. With	h regar wn on	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid so the basis of the sequence listing:	equence disclosed in the international appl	lication, the written opinion was
		ined in the international application ir	n printed form	
		together with the international applica		
		hed subsequently to this Authority in		
		hed subsequently to this Authority in		
	micina	ational application as filed has been fun		
	The stabeen f	atement that the information recorded in curnished.	computer readable form is identical to the	writen sequence listing has
4. X		mendments have resulted in the cance	ellation of:	
ļ	X	the description, pages NONE		
ļ	X	the claims, Nos. NONE		
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE		
5.	This o		amendments had not been made, since the supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	ey have been considered to go
* Repla in this	cement s opinic	sheets which have been furnished to the recon as "originally filed".	ceiving Office in response to an invitation und	der Article 14 are referred to

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/25022

Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	Y
	Claims	1-16	NO.
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YI
	Claims	1-16	NO.
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	Y
	Claims	NONE	No
ownership interest in the investment trust, investment instrument. Tull teaches the step growth in equity and yield return at a select column 5, line 15 thru column 5, line 22, and	s of optimizing ed level of risl d column 7, lin	which investment instruments are se , and tracking the value of the inves	lected to produce a desir

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

	PCT/US01/25022
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not s	ufficient)
Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII	Sheet 10
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion Preliminary Examination Report.	be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response a will not be considered in preparing the International